

Technical Merit (Quality) Scores: Total 200 Marks (20% Portion)

Criterion A: Programme and Resourcing (Works Proposal) – 80marks (8%)

The Tenderer is to provide a Level 4 EPC (Engineering, Procurement, Construction) Schedule programme (Clause 4.9 of the Public Works Contract). The detailed Gantt chart programme(s) will be Microsoft Project TM compatible and should be submitted in native and pdf formats clearly legible at A3 size. The programme should be sufficient to demonstrate the Tenderers proposed approach to the overall delivery of the project and as a minimum should detail the following:

- a. How the tenderer intends to execute the Works in accordance with the dates for Substantial Completion of the Works/Sections including condition approvals and building control, samples, procurement, manufacture, phasing, construction, testing, commissioning and final operation of Works and the sequencing and timing of inspections and tests, activities pursuant to the Building Control (Amendment) Regulations including the collation and compilation of information for uploading to the BCMS & Safety File preparation.
- b. Inclusion and identification of the programme contingency as set down in Clause 9.4 (Programme Contingency).
- c. Critical path, float and other flexibility.
- d. Period of time for the Employer and the Employers Personnel to consider Required Contractor Submissions under Clause 4.7 (Required Contractor Submissions) of the Contract.
- e. Identify the preparation time for obtaining any consents required to be obtained by the Contractor including in response of utility connections.
- f. The programme(s) should also clearly demonstrate that the tenderer has a thorough understanding of the key issues associated with each of the stages of the development, particularly as set out, in any Phasing, Sequencing & Contractors Restrictions Plan of the Main Contract Preliminaries and where required supporting breakout programme(s) should be provided in order to demonstrate this clearly.
- g. Programme(s) should clearly indicate timelines that demonstrate the tenderer understands the key issues associated with testing, commissioning, demonstrations training and licensing together with the appropriate timelines for notices to be issued to the relevant parties in particular the requirements to comply with the Building Control (Amended) Regulations 2014 (BCAR) and where attendance is required for each of the Stages of the developments.
- h. The programme(s) should clearly identify those materials which are long lead items and the estimated duration from ordering to delivery. In addition, the program shall indicate the materials/ items that the contractor considers are required to be ordered at commencement of the project and how this early procurement will be managed by the main contractor and the specialist sub-contractors.

Response is limited to: Level 4 Programme on A3 sheets in pdf and native file format. Supporting text on Max 3 A4 single sided pages.

Criterion B: Project Execution Plan (Works Proposal) – 70marks (7%)

The Tenderers Project Execution Plan (PEP) shall consider the Works Requirements and shall demonstrate a clear understanding of the works and their implementation and should particularly address the following:

- a. Managing the co-ordination of all works packages and sub-contractors (including Mechanical and Electrical Specialists), and general supply chain.
- b. Set out the proposed sequence of works and approach to management of phasing and policies to ensure adherence to programme.
- c. Set out approach to project handover, including testing and commissioning, in-house snagging polices, BCAR certification, health & safety file compilation, etc.
- d. Provide a a sample Commissioning Plan as part of this response. The sample shall demonstrate the Contractor's proposed approach to managing and delivering the commissioning process, including planning, coordination, testing, verification, and handover. The plan should outline key activities, roles and responsibilities, sequencing with the construction programme, and quality assurance measures to ensure that building services and systems achieve the specified performance outcomes. The submission will be assessed on the clarity, completeness, and suitability of the proposed methodology, and the Contractor's demonstrated understanding of best practice commissioning procedures in accordance with relevant standards.

Response is limited to: MAX 8 A4 pages single sided.

Criterion C: Quality Control & Green Procurement – 50marks (5%)

Demonstrate tenderer's strategy for quality control and proposals for reducing environmental impacts for this project. The Tenderer should address the following:

- a. Approach to achieving high quality standards and high-quality finishes throughout the project including the procedures and approaches which will be implemented through all stages of construction.
- b. The Tenderer shall indicate their company policies with regard to "green procurement", covering work methods, low carbon material selection, company policies carrying out works with a reduced environmental impact throughout their life cycle use. The Tenderer shall include relevant examples of all of the above, which are proposed to be implemented on this project.

Response is limited to: MAX 5 A4 pages single sided.

The Marking of the Technical Merit (Quality) Scores

The marking of the Technical Merit (Quality) Scores will be based on a standardised scoring system for each of the technical criteria and sub criteria as set out below:

- An excellent response - Score 5:

Exceeds the requirement. An excellent response that answers the question with precision and relevance. Exceptional demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works. Includes improvement through added value/innovation.

- A good response - Score 4:

The proposal meets the required standard, which may include additional benefits. A good response in terms of detail and relevance to the question. A good demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- An acceptable response - Score 3:

The proposal satisfies the required standard, perhaps with very minor reservations. A response with an acceptable level of detail and relevance. An acceptable demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- An unsatisfactory response - Score 2:

The proposal is unsatisfactory and fails to meet the required standard in most aspects. The response contains limited or irrelevant information and/or only partially addresses the question. Significant reservations as to the Tenderer's relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- An inadequate response - Score 1:

The proposal is inadequate and fails to meet the required standard. The response has an inadequate level of detail provided; and/or questions have not been answered; and/or answers are not directly relevant to the question. Major reservations as to the Tenderer's relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- Not eligible for consideration - Score 0:

No response; or the response completely fails to meet the required standard. The response is completely deficient in terms of details and relevance. Completely fails to demonstrate that the Tenderer has the ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

A mark will be awarded to each criterion and sub-criterion from 0 to 5 (as set out above). This mark using the appropriate multiplier, will be used to calculate the score to be awarded.

For example, if a score of 100 is available, the base score multiplier is 20 ($100 / 5$). If the Tenderer is marked 5 (excellent), a score of 100 marks will be awarded (20×5). If the Tenderer is marked 2 (a response where significant reservations exist), a score of 40 marks will be awarded (20×2).

